

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/699,517	SCHENK ET AL
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL KOLKER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1649

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel Kolker (USPTO)

**Status of Application:** Allowable after exmr amdt

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Joe Liebeschuetz (atty for applicant)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 12 November 2009

**Time:** 5:00 eastern

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

**Claims discussed:**

55, 71, 74, 80

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to examiner's proposed amendments. The changes to the claims and title appear in the attached office action.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)